estimates of disinvestment proceeds for the next five years; year-wise or region-wise have been made.

Central Assistance to Maharasthra for Urban Development Bodies

1288. SHRI V.N. GADGIL: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Tenth Finance Commission has recommended Central Assistance of Rs. 33.24 crores for four years from 1996-97 for Urban Development Bodies;

(b) whether Government of Maharashtra has received only Rs. 41.55 crores leaving a balance of Rs. 58.17 crores under the scheme; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI BALASAHEB VIKHE PATIL): (a) to (c) The Tenth Finance Commission has recommended for the Urban Local Bodies of Maharashtra a total grant of Rs. 132.95 crores for the years 1996-97 to 1999-2000 (Rs. 33.24 crores for the years 1996-97, 1997-98 and 1999-2000 and Rs. 33.23 crores for 1998-99). A sum of Rs. 99.71 crores has already been released. The balance to be released is Rs. 33.24 crores which can be released on completion of the requirements stipulated in the guidelines.

Cut in Non-Development Expenditure

†1289. SHRI BARJINDER SINGH HAMDARD:
SHRI BALWANT SINGH RAMOOWALIA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have decided to make deductions in the non-developmental expenses for minimising the gap in budgetary loss during 1999-2000;

(b) if so, the extent to which the non-developmental expenditure is estimated to be more or less in comparison to the developmental expenditure of the country after this decision; and

(c) the extent to which non-developmental expenditure is targetted

†Original notice of the question received in Hindi.

to be minimised according to the decision?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI BALASAHEB VIKHE PATIL): (a) Yes, Sir. The Government has decided to impose a 10% mandatory cut on non-plan, non-salary expenditure.

(b) and (c) No specific estimates have been made to relate savings to this decision.

Attachment of Property of Income Tax Defaulters

1290. SHRI LAJPAT RAI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the Cine-Artist/Producer-Directors against whom Income-tax of Rupees 10 lakh or more has been outstanding as on March 31, 1999; and

(b) whether the Income Tax Department has attached the movable and immovable property of the defaulters in each case; under Income Tax Act, 1961, Section 226(3) and rule 48 of second Schedule and the results thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI V. DHANANJAYA KUMAR): (a) The details of cine-artists/producers/directors against whom income-tax of Rs. 10 lakh or more was outstanding as on March 31st, 1999 is as under:—

No. of cases	Total outstanding demand (Rs. in crores)
76	57.95

(b) Movable and immovable property of some of the defaulters has been attached by the Income Tax Department under Section 226(3) and Rule 48 of the II Schedule of the Income Tax Act, 1961. As a result thereof Rs. 42.20 lakh has been recovered so far.

Balance of Payment Situation

1291. MISS MABEL REBELLO: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the balance of payment is expected to be hit by the rise in international crude oil prices;